

DEFENDANTS'

EXHIBIT 31

Transcript of the Testimony of

MARLIN NABORS

July 1, 2025

**STUDENTS FOR JUSTICE IN PALESTINE AT PITT VS
UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH**



412-261-2323
depo@akf.com
www.akf.com

MARLIN NABORS - 7/1/2025

1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:25-CV-00524

STUDENTS FOR JUSTICE IN)
PALESTINE AT PITT,)

Plaintiff,)

VS.)

UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH; JOAN)
GABEL, MARLIN NABORS, KARIN)
ASHER, DaVAUGHN VINCENT-BRYAN,)
MATTHEW LANDY, and JAMEY MENTZER,)
all in their official and)
individual capacities,)

Defendants.)

DEPOSITION OF MARLIN NABORS
VIA VIDEOCONFERENCE

DEPOSITION taken before me, Mary J. Carney, a
Notary Public within and for the Commonwealth of
Pennsylvania, via Zoom videoconference, beginning at
11:07 a.m. on July 1, 2025, pursuant to Notice and to
be used pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil
Procedure in the aforesaid cause of action, pending
in the United States District Court for the Western
District of Pennsylvania.

MARLIN NABORS - 7/1/2025

31

1 released from further deliberation without
2 decision, and that the entire proceeding be
3 vacated as null and void." Did I read that
4 correctly?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. When it says, "Under the
7 circumstances presented here," what does
8 that refer to?

9 A. The improper communications, the
10 concern about the interference with the
11 conduct process.

12 Q. Those concerns were great enough to
13 abort the February 4 process?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. It says, "the current Conduct
16 Hearing Board be dismissed and released
17 from further deliberation without
18 decision." Do you know how much progress
19 that first hearing board had made on a
20 decision?

21 A. I know that they were in
22 deliberations.

23 Q. Do you know whether they put pen to
24 paper?

25 A. I don't know the answer to that.

MARLIN NABORS - 7/1/2025

32

1 Q. So you don't know whether or not
2 they started an opinion or a decision or
3 findings?

4 A. I don't.

5 Q. Did you ask them?

6 A. I only was interested at that time
7 about whether or not they had reached a
8 decision, and they had not.

9 Q. We'll explore that in a little bit.
10 As you sit here now, are you aware of any
11 draft findings that the first panel made?

12 A. I have not seen any draft findings
13 that the panel made.

14 Q. Are you aware whether they made
15 draft findings?

16 A. No.

17 Q. So just to clarify that, the
18 consequences identified in this Nabors
19 Exhibit 1, the interim, the new charges,
20 interim suspension and aborting of the
21 first process were all caused by a
22 combination of the Open Letter and the
23 students' comments at the February 4
24 hearing; is that a fair statement?

25 A. I'm sorry, can you say that again?